Student Employee Development Team, Academic Year 2024-25

Last updated 8/9/2024

Overview:

The Student Employee Development Group seeks to provide equitable opportunities for student employees to gain new knowledge and skills via training, on-the-job experiences, and performance evaluations. This group ensures standardized hiring and training practices for student employees in all areas of the library while also making recommendations for student employee pay scales.

Library Metrics:

- Student Employment (Primary responsibility)
- Fellowships (Relevant)

Fall 2024 metrics:

- o SED Fall 2024 Metrics tracking.xlsx
- o Access Services Estimated Labor Costs Fall 2024.xlsx
- o Student Employee Feedback Fall 2024.xlsx
- o Self Assessment Survey How Would You Like To Grow

Spring 2025 metrics:

- o SED spring 2025 metrics tracking.xlsx
- o Spring 2025 Labor Costs Access Services.xlsx
- o Student Employee Feedback Spring 2025.xlsx

AY 2025 - 2026 Charging Document: SED Report FY25-26 Charging Document.docx

• Objective:

- o Ensure that student employee pay is justified within each Library unit
- Why:
- Treat student workers fairly and as respected and valuable members of the Library.
- How:
- Review library funding available for student employees
- Review pay scales across Library units
- Take into consideration local wages
- Success looks like:
 - o Recruitment and retention of high-quality student workers.
 - o Staffing needed student positions while staying within budget.

• Fall Update:

We have continued to utilize the Tier system established by Leadership last year to great success. This has allowed us to provide raises by encouraging our students to take on greater responsibility and develop additional skills. This spring we plan to reach out to other units on campus that employee student workers to determine whether our current pay scale is comparable to others and if any adjustments need to be made in the next fiscal year.

Spring Update:

This spring several departments provided raises for both longevity and the acquirement of new skills/responsibilities. We met with Brittni, Samm and Rami and at present our budget does not allow for much if any additional increase to the maximum student hourly pay at this time. Currently work-study opportunities on campus are offering as high as \$15/hr. Recruitment of additional work-study students is a tactic we are hoping to explore this Fall.

Final Outcomes:

Our student workers are overall very satisfied with their employment as demonstrated by our high retention as well as their comments in the feedback survey. They would all of course like to make more money. Our Team met with administration and were informed that we are currently within the range that we should be. We also reached out to other campus employers, and we are roughly in the middle in regard to student pay on campus. We were able to provide several raises (25 in total) this year for longevity and the acquirement of new skills/responsibilities. This Fall we plan to explore hiring more Work Study students to help offset some of our budget to hopefully allow the increase of our hourly compensation in the future.

• Challenges and Opportunities for Next Year:

Competitive pay is becoming an increasing problem on Campus and locally. While we continue to be a very coveted place of employment, we have seen an increase in our students taking positions elsewhere solely due to higher pay. This year we are hoping to hire a greater number of Work Study recipients with the hope that we will be able to leverage more of our budget for higher hourly pay. We will also continue to encourage the increase of responsibilities/cross training to maximize our students time and potential most efficiently.

Objective:

 Ensure that student employees receive regular feedback on their performance and are given the opportunity to provide feedback on their supervisors

O Why:

- o To ensure student workers provide high quality services to patrons.
- To ensure student workers have the chance to learn on the job and share their ideas.

o How:

- Student employees will be evaluated by their supervisor
- New student employees will be evaluated every 5 weeks for their first semester of their employment
- Continuing employees will be evaluated by their supervisor every 6 months for the remainder the of their employment

- Student employees will submit a self-evaluation every six months [asked to share what they learned and what they want to do better; commenting on this the next semester]
- Student employees will have the opportunity to provide feedback on their supervisors at the end of each semester

Success looks like:

- 100% of student employees will be evaluated by their supervisor
- o 100% of student employees will submit a self-evaluation every six months
- 100% of student employees will have the opportunity to provide feedback on their supervisors at the end of each semester

Fall update

A more formalized evaluation system has proven to be very beneficial in solidifying our training, making clear our expectations and demonstrating a greater level of support than we have in the past. This is well reflected in the full retention we have going into spring semester and in the feedback that the students provided through their feedback survey. This was the first semester that we have provided an opportunity for self-evaluation. We did not get many responses (7 out of 40) but it is a start. We plan to rework it and perhaps offer it earlier in the semester or possibly combine it with their 6-month evaluation in some way.

Spring Update:

We determined that attempting to complete formal evaluations at the end of term was proving to be difficult and thus adjusted our evaluation timing accordingly. Fall evaluations will now have a deadline of February, and Spring evaluations will take place by the end of Sept for returning students. We are hoping that this timing will prove to be more successful. New students will continue to be evaluated after 5 weeks. Unfortunately, for the feedback and self-evaluations this semester there was a significant error in Qualtrics that was not discovered until attempting to extract the data. Fortunately, we were still able to collect the students' comments regarding their experience, but all self-evaluation data was lost. Comments were once again very positive overall, with 19 out of 45 students responding.

• Final Outcomes:

Formalized evaluations proved to be a stretch for every unit this year, but we are planning to experiment with adjustments to the timing and are hoping that this will help facilitate the 100% completion goal going forward. It was very sad to lose so much data from the surveys this semester. Going forward, the Qualtrics evaluations will be given more scrutiny before being distributed, and they will be provided earlier in the semester, so as not to conflict with the busiest time of the semester. This will hopefully increase the number of responses.

Challenges and Opportunities for Next Year:

It proved difficult across units this year to conduct formal evaluations. We intend to persevere and are hopeful to establish a workflow for this process that is not overly arduous in the coming year. We recognize the value of these evaluations and look forward to a better approach to satisfying this objective. If we choose to stick with Qualtrics as our evaluation platform for feedback and self-assessment, we will be troubleshooting far in advance and releasing the surveys earlier in the semester.

Objective:

o Provide student employees with relevant and timely training related to their job duties

Why:

o To ensure student workers provide high quality services to patrons.

How:

- U of I Library student employees will complete general/overview and unitspecific Library training modules within one month of starting their employment, and then on an annual basis
- Develop mechanism for analysis of students' experiences with the general/overview Library training and unit-specific Library training

Success looks like:

- High-quality training modules developed and maintained
- Library student employees have completed training

Fall Update

We currently have two published training modules:

- Student Employee Development Manual: https://uidaho.pressbooks.pub/studentemployeedevelopment
- Access Services Basics: https://uidaho.pressbooks.pub/accessservicesbasics

This spring we plan to develop a module that highlights each unit's role in supporting the libraries' mission, vision, and goals. This will include an overview of each unit, including a brief history, general workflow, and plans for future development and growth.

Spring Update:

Unfortunately, our goal proved to be a bit too ambitious for this Spring, but we are hopeful to begin laying the foundation for these modules this summer and are hopeful that we can fully manifest this project by the end of Fall at the latest.

• Final Outcomes:

While we intend to create a more robust training system across units, the training systems we currently have in place have continued to prove highly successful. We have also decided that training unique to each unit is likely to be more effective, for example the MILL would like to utilize zines and Qualtrics modules may be of better use at the GSCC.

• Challenges and Opportunities for Next Year:

This year we were not able to successfully complete individual unit training modules as we had envisioned at the beginning of Fall. However, throughout the course of the year we were able to brainstorm approaches that will hopefully prove to be more effective this coming year. Rather than attempting to design a "one size fits all" we plan to generate unique approaches best suited to each individual unit.

Metrics:

- Results of pay scale review across Library units (Minimum, maximum, average, median, mode, salary increases (per year/semester) for each unit)
- o # of student employees on each pay scale
- o % of library funding allocated to student employee wages and fringe each fiscal year
- O Cost savings associated with cross training students across units
- o % of student employees that are evaluated
- % of student employees who have the opportunity to provide feedback on their supervisors
- o % of student employees who submitted self-evaluations
- o # of training modules created

Membership:

- Alisa Melior, Lead
- Suzie Davis
- Kevin Dobbins
- Jessica Fleener
- Ari Burns
- Clinton Johnson
- Brittni McNeill, Ex-officio member
- Samm Green, Ex-officio member (Added 2025)
- Student employee(s), Ex-officio member(s) [not yet selected]

Reporting to:

Rami Attebury